



Bob Beck Legacy



CLARIFYING THE WORDS OF BOB BECK

Bob Beck was both a trained scientist and a storyteller. Both of these talents served him well with his oft-stated mission—to bring mankind simpler ways for health.

My primary objective is to give people back to themselves and deliver them from the hands of vested interests who from time immemorial have sought to control and exploit us. ...

Take back your power!

After Bob discovered in 1991 the promising research at Albert Einstein College of Medicine, revealing the ability of microcurrents to neutralize viruses in blood, he put his whole heart, and devoted the remainder of his life, to bringing the message about the healing power of low level currents of electricity to public attention. Electro-medicine was a passion for Bob. One of the groups that recognized his work was the Fetzer Foundation in 1990 with an award for pioneering work in electro-medicine. Bob's long-held belief was that the future of medicine would revolve around electro-medicine.

After developing his own technology, a non-invasive way to apply microcurrents to blood, Bob was willing to personally fund, at considerable expense, a medical study. The original research had been ignored by mainstream medicine and Bob wanted a study to gain mainstream acceptance for the healing power of microcurrents. Bob chose AIDS for the study as it was a major concern in the early 1990s. To oversee the study, Bob enlisted a medical doctor and they used the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test as a gauge for success. The medical community uses the PCR test to indicate the presence of HIV and the extent of viral infection referred to as "viral load." Although there is considerable controversy as to whether the PCR is a valid test for AIDS, the medical community still today uses the PCR test for this purpose.

PCR TEST RESULTS

As the results came in from the study, some of the PCR tests did show a dramatically reduced viral load while some did not. We want to clarify Bob's words as he lost his scientific objectivity at times when speaking about the PCR test results. In writing, as early as March 1995, when he realized the PCR test was not a signal for success, Bob explained in an introductory letter to the papers he distributed after his lectures:

Some show dramatic drops, a few show negative HIV counts. However in most cases symptoms vanish or decrease dramatically regardless of test results.

... But it's normal for common HIV antibody tests to remain the same even after complete remissions, just as you will always carry specific antibodies if you've once had childhood diseases like measles, chicken pox, or mumps.

As a guest on the KPFK late-night talk show which aired in Los Angeles on September 4, 1996, Bob told Roy Tuckman when discussing the study he funded:

... most AIDS patients are symptom-free after about three weeks regardless of their blood tests.

Bob elaborated further during a follow-up interview one week later, on September 11th 1996:

The people who did have ... full blown AIDS—the majority of them—well over 80%, are totally symptom-free whether their blood tests, the T-cell counts and the Polymerase Chain Reaction, PCR test reflect it or not, they are symptom-free.

He openly stated that some PCR results were still high:

... the blood was still up around 20,000 to 300,000 so we began to believe that their actual PCR count had nothing to do with their state of health or very little once you neutralized it. So I'm having to reconsider this whole thing.

When he was interviewed on Radio KUCI by Robert Larson & Dan Freehamp in 1998, Bob explained:

At the time we were using the PCR test for proof. We had some patients who started with a count of 400,000 HIV particles per milliliter blood and now they were zero. But we don't have confidence in the PCR tests anymore.

When Bob agreed to a personal videotaped interview in 1998, he again emphasized symptoms decreased despite inconsistent test results:

Some of the AIDS patients required two attendants to lift them on to the john. They couldn't use a bedpan, they were dying. All of them are now well, symptom-free and back at work. And although their HIV test might be up or down, many of them dropped, many of them didn't – they're well. They can't complain, they say "I'm happy, I'm healthy, I'm walking, I've got energy, I'm not tired all the time."

Clarification is needed as Bob overstated the results of the PCR tests during public lectures before live audiences. He would overstate the results giving the impression they were proof that his study was conclusive.

Why did Bob use the PCR tests as evidence when the test results were clearly inconclusive?

From interviewing people who knew Bob the following may be two reasons that contributed to his lapse in judgment.

- Bob, like many of us, wanted acknowledgement for his accomplishments especially from his academic peers. There were some among his peers who dismissed his conclusions outright because Bob had no clinical studies to back up his theories. They did so without evaluating all the science that had been published over a century on the ideas that Bob was putting forward. Bob, being a sensitive person, may have been trying to silence these critics.
- Bob was a storyteller—in front of an audience, he loved to entertain. With repetition, a storyteller often embellishes information.

What Bob did know from the study and considerable anecdotal feedback, is that people were getting well. He knew that people were recovering and living better lives despite the test results. In interviews he shared how the test results did not reflect that people were becoming symptom-free and he started questioning the accuracy of the PCR test.

When a member of our group spoke with the doctor who supervised the study, he did admit that the patients' symptoms disappeared and their health improved. The doctor felt the study was a failure as success was based on the PCR test results. He strongly felt Bob was overly enthusiastic about the outcomes, to the point where they had a falling-out over the research.

All this happened in the 1990's, long before mainstream medicine would catch up and recognize how microcurrents and pulsed magnetic fields have the power to heal.

Today, our Science webpage on www.bobbeck.com provides only a small sampling of the ample amount of research supporting the ability of microcurrents to boost healing. Whenever a technology or a therapy indicates benefits for a variety of ailments, it is usually because the immune system is somehow being strengthened. That was Bob's viewpoint: "Your immune system is the foremost defense weapon you have against anything that can go wrong with you."

Why did the PCR tests not reflect that the patients were recovering?

Highly credible independent researchers question the use of the PCR test to determine the existence of HIV. To this day, the use of the PCR test to determine HIV remains controversial.

One company, who manufactures units based on Bob's work, received feedback from individuals who reported that their PCR test indicated their viral load had gone up—some considerably—after using the Beck Protocol for four to eight weeks. They also reported they were symptom-free and feeling better. The company was surprised that as people got well the PCR test results did not show improvement. One of the individuals, however, said he was still not feeling well. One fellow reported he had more energy, had stopped losing weight, was no longer getting colds and flu, and his body was even able to overcome pneumonia very quickly since doing blood electrification. A PCR test, however, indicated his viral load had increased dramatically.

Science writer Nick Regush, in his book *The Virus Within* published in 2000, quoted leading scientists who questioned the use of the PCR test as an indicator for HIV. Regush summed up, “One unfortunate development was the indiscriminate use of PCR, fast becoming an ill-advised shortcut.”

Resources that help to shed light on the issue are:

It seems an injustice that the Nobel Prize winner and creator of the PCR test, Kary Mullis does not agree with the way the test is being used for AIDS. His compelling and logical argument is featured in a video titled, “Nobel Prize Winner Challenges the Myths About AIDS.”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lifgAvXU3ts>

Dr. Mullis also challenged the existence of HIV as a virus and the use of the PCR test in “The Medical Establishment vs. the Truth,” a book excerpt that was published in the September 1998 issue of *Penthouse* magazine:

<http://www.virusmyth.com/aids/hiv/kmdancing.htm>

A documentary, *House of Numbers*, released in 2010 takes us around the world to examine the issue surrounding HIV and AIDS. It also explores how research is skewed for monetary gain and political purposes.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BwgmzbnckII>

Why the PCR test results do not reflect patient recovery may be something medical science has yet to discover.

ELECTROPORATION

Bob Beck’s technology, unfortunately, has been associated with electroporation. Electroporation is a medical technology that is used to increase the absorption of medications by using electricity. Even Bob assumed and warned, as he wanted to err on the side of caution, that blood electrification would create electroporation.

Other individuals, in addition to Bob, that warn about electroporation have not checked the fine print on the research paper that Bob quoted. Here’s the output comparison as described in the tiny footprint on the research paper and Bob’s technology:

- The fine print states that electroporation is based on an output of 200 volts per cm^2 .
- Bob Beck’s blood electrification unit is based on an output of 31 volts which equates to approximately 7 volts per cm^2 .

There’s a huge difference between 200 volts per cm^2 and 7 volts per cm^2 .

A privately funded bench testing was done at the University of Toronto in Canada in 2005. The same output as a Beck unit (50-100 uA) was applied directly on healthy as well as malaria-infected mammalian (CHO) cells in petri dishes. The test did not indicate any electroporation.

In addition, with considerable feedback from individuals who use the technology and have continued to use their medications and nutritional supplements over the past 20 years and more, we have had no reports of any adverse effects that could be attributed to electroporation. Our body cells always have an inflow and outflow of substances. It is possible that blood electrification may improve this flow but there is no evidence that it magnifies the absorption of any substances.

GARLIC

Most individuals have no problem eating garlic when using the Beck technologies. Bob warned about the use of garlic, however, as a result of his observations in the 1970s when he gave workshops using his advanced Bio-feedback system. He had discovered “by sad experience” that participants, who had salad dressing or any food containing garlic for lunch, could no longer function as needed. In a letter to the organizer for one of his weekend workshops dated June 23, 1973, he stated: “An ABSOLUTE no-no is the eating of ANY garlic ...” Bob had observed that “... if a person is at all sensitive to foods” they could no longer achieve the states of mind required.

As a storyteller, Bob often repeated his discovery about garlic. As the years went by, he gradually embellished the effects of garlic from a caution to the point he demonized garlic saying, at times, that everyone should avoid it. In reality, some individuals are sensitive to garlic as they report it creates brain fog for them. It is not an issue for most people.

With this clarification of Bob’s words, we recognize he was human and not infallible. We also recognize his genius and his dedication to better mankind.

THE DEDICATION OF BOB BECK

Bob was a highly intelligent man, a physicist whose interests and passions led him to explore topics outside the realm of mainstream research. As a result, he was often criticized or ignored by mainstream researchers. His personality was such that he was at times abrasive. Bob’s abrasive quality was sometimes compared to that of Robert O. Becker of *Body Electric* fame. This quality didn’t help either of them to gain the peer recognition they would have liked for their work.

The amazing thing is that Bob believed so much in adding microcurrents non-invasively to the blood and the four-step program he developed, that he continued to lecture and make it known almost until his passing. For eight years Bob dedicated his life to this technology for health. Given Bob’s history of discovering and developing dozens of technologies, then moving on to the next project, his tenacity

to stick with his message that gentle currents of electricity are beneficial for healing is an indication of his belief in the importance of this technology.

Bob Beck did not gain financially from his research and promotion of the work that later became known as the Beck Protocol. He felt passionately that this was his most important gift to mankind. The Beck Protocol continues to help people all over the world. Thanks Bob for your contribution—it is an honor to continue your mission and care for your legacy.